Dear Sir/Madam

Comments on National Highways Submission Regarding Stonehenge Road Scheme

I would like to state my objection to this scheme which will damage a beautiful and unique ancient landscape, designated a World Heritage Site - and is contrary to emissions reduction and any logical input the government wishes to make regarding nature recovery.

As things stand National Highways has not acknowledged that the scheme was found to have a "significantly adverse" impact on the western cutting by the Secretary of State; neither have any changes been made to reflect the World Heritage Committee decision. In addition National Highways are yet to present a properly assessed alternative route with a view to causing less damage to the World Heritage Site. Scheme construction costs have still not been updated and neither has the carbon assessment. This despite their plans being likely to adversely alter a site of international importance for generations to come.

The scheme is shocking in that it demonstrates prioritising traffic over proper curation of a unique landscape and site of global importance. It is also shocking that a road scheme such as this has been considered in a period of heightened public (and government), awareness of habitat destruction and the danger of emissions to both health and planet.

"build a new road" as a solution to all transport problems, with no innovative thinking about alternative transport options, is surely no longer good enough- it is certainly far from fit for the post Cop 26, 21st century.

Yours faithfully, Angela Churchill

